BEFORE THE ANNE ARUNDEL COUNTY BOARD OF APPEALS

In the matter of:

THE HALLE COMPANIES CASE NO. BA 120-90S

CHESAPEAKE TERRACE : CASE NO. BA 26-91S/BA 27-91V :

Wednesday, April 29, 1992

Pursuant to Notice, the above-entitled
hearing was held at The Arundel Center, 44 Calvert
Street, Annapolis, Maryland 21404, before BARBARA M.
HALE, CHAIRPERSON, commencing at 2:00 p.m., there being
present:

BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT:

JOSEPH A. JOHNSON
WILLIAM C. EDMONSTON, SR.
P. TYSON BENNETT, ESQUIRE
JOHN R. GREENE, ESQUIRE
F. GEORGE DEURINGER, Vice-Chairman
JOHN W. BORING
ANTHONY V. LAMARTINA
DAVID M. SCHAFER

. 4

ON BEHALF OF THE APPLICANTS:

SUSANNE K. HENLEY, ESQUIRE 80 West Street Suite 110 P.O. Box 2356 Annapolis, Maryland 21404

and

ANTHONY CHRISTHILF, ESQUIRE 124 South Street P.O. Box 1524 Annapolis, Maryland 21404

ON BEHALF OF ANNE ARUNDEL COUNTY:

JAMIE BAER, ESQUIRE 2664 Riva Road Annapolis, Maryland 21401

ON BEHALF OF THE RESPONDENTS:

EDWIN DOSEK

ALSO PRESENT:

KEVIN DOOLEY GERALD EGOLF

REPORTED BY: DEBORAH S. CONLEY, NOTARY PUBLIC

1	surroundings of the site. Thank you.
2	CHAIRPERSON HALE: Mr. Christhilf, will you
3	call your first witness.
4	MR. CHRISTHILF: Ms. Henley will do that,
5	Madam Chairman.
6	MS. HENLEY: The applicant calls Mr. J. A.
7	Chisholm as its first witness.
8	Whereupon,
9	J. A. CHISHOLM,
10	a witness, called for examination by counsel for the
11	Applicant, was duly sworn, and was examined and
12	testified as follows:
13	CHAIRPERSON HALE: Give us your name and
14	address for the record, please.
15	THE WITNESS: My name is John A. Chisholm.
16	My address is 10905 Fruitwood Drive, Bowie, Maryland.
17	I'm currently employed with the Halle Companies, as a
18	consulting engineer, registered in the state of
19	Maryland.
20	CHAIRPERSON HALE: Thank you.
21	•

14

1	CHAIRPERSON HALE: Is there an objection?
2	MR. DOSEK: Madam Chairman, we don't even
3	know what number this is. It hasn't been marked. It
4	hasn't been asked to be marked. I don't know what we
5	are
6	CHAIRPERSON HALE: It would be Exhibit Number
7	2, and it will be marked after it is accepted.
8	MR. DOSEK: Okay. No objection here.
9	MS. BAER: No objection.
10	CHAIRPERSON HALE: Exhibit Number 2 is the
11	site plan, with photos, and an overlay.
12	(Whereupon the document was marked for
13	identification Applicant's Exhibit No. 2 and received
14	in evidence.)
15	BY MS. HENLEY:
16	Q Mr. Chisholm, have you also prepared smaller
17	versions of this site plan to submit for the record?
18	A Yes, we have.
19	Q I'm going to show you what I'm going to ask
20	to be marked as Exhibit 3, if you could please explain

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY
Court Reporting and Litigation Support
Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia
410-766-HUNT (4868)
1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

what Exhibit 3 is.

1	A Exhibit 3 is consists of three separate
2	sheets, which are reductions of the exhibit presented
3	here, with the exception of the photographs.
4	The first sheet is labeled "Existing
5	Conditions, " which was the exhibit I showed prior to
6	the overlay.
7	The second sheet is called "Access
8	Alternative A, " which shows the access from Conway Road
9	to the proposed mining area.
10	And the "Access Alternative B," which is the
11	third sheet, shows the proposed mining area and the
12	Access Alternative B from Patuxent Road.
13	They are presented for clarity, without
14	having to look across the room at the small exhibits.
15	Q And have you prepared copies of what I'm
16	going to have marked as Exhibit 3 for the members of
17	the Board?
18	A Yes, I have.
19	MS. BAER: Ms. Henley, do you have an extra
20	copy?
21	MS. HENLEY: I did not know Mr. Dosek was

...

How would this site be accessed?

A We propose two alternatives, as Mr.

Christhilf mentioned earlier. We have Access

Alternative A, which would require truck traffic from the Crofton/424 intersection, to traverse Conway Road.

At the intersection with Patuxent Road, it would proceed in a westerly direction, in an area that has sand and gravel operations currently in effect.

About a quarter of a mile west of that intersection, we would access, through private property, and we would come in in the southern portion of our site, to access the mining area.

Approximately 4,000 feet of private road would be constructed to obtain access to the site.

- Q Okay. The Access Alternate A is what is shown there. Is that what the developer proposes to do at the present time?
 - A Yes. That is what we propose to do.
- Q At the time of the original hearing, what was the proposed access to the site?
 - A The proposed access was shown as Alternate B,

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

...

	67
1	which is off Patuxent Road. There is an existing road
2	at the Alternate B point that was used in the past on
3	this site, when the site was farmed, and when there was
4	some mining done on the site.
5	Q Okay. Does the developer, at the present
6	time, have plans to purchase the property that would
7	allow it let him have the access on Alternative A,
8	or to get necessary easements from the property owners?

Yes. We have been in contact with the A necessary owners and are proceeding towards obtaining the necessary right-of-way acquisitions, in order to construct the Alternate A access.

Is the applicant requesting the Board of Appeals, if they decide to award this special ._ exception, to give the developer the alternative from either accessing off of Access A or B?

Yes. We would like the option to obtain either of the alternates. We only propose one entrance to the site, not both, and our desire is to access through Alternate A.

Are as many residential properties impacted

if there is access on Alternative A, rather than Alternative B?

A It's my opinion that the impact is less off
Alternate A, due to the fact that less of the public
road is traversed. There is approximately 1.2 miles
from the intersection with Conway and Meyers Station,
along Patuxent Road that the trucks would have to
traverse to get to the site.

Conversely, if the Conway Road access is used, it's about a quarter of a mile. Conway Road is currently being used by trucks in the sand and gravel operations. I believe the Chaney Properties have sand and gravel operations down in this area that's shown, near Wilson Town on this map.

Q Is this lesser impact why the developer presently chooses to propose accessing through Alternative A?

- A Yes, it is.
- O Mr. Chisholm, you can have a seat now.
- A Thank you.
- Q What would the hours of operation be for the

1	MS. HENLEY: Whatever the Board wants to do
2	just to facilitate things is fine.
3	CHAIRPERSON HALE: All right. Mr. Dosek.
4	MR. DOSEK: I'd like to ask just Madam
5	Chairman, I have a few questions I'd like to ask Mr.
6	Chisholm.
7	CROSS-EXAMINATION
8	BY MR. DOSEK:
9	Q You went into a great deal of detail
10	concerning your Access Alternative A. And this is your
11	preferred alternative; is that right?
12	A That's right.
13	Q And I think you've indicated that it is
14	permissible for you to use this?
15	A I indicated that we were in the process of
16	negotiating for that, and that we would like the option
17	of either "A" or "B."
18	Q Have you had any contact with people or
19	residents along Alternative Road A?
20	A Have I personally had any contact?
21	Q Or your organization.

1	certification.
2	(Whereupon the document was marked for
3	identification County's Exhibit No. 3 and received in
4	evidence.)
5	MS. BAER: If I haven't done so already, I
6	will move for admission of County's 1 through 3.
7	CHAIRPERSON HALE: They're in.
8	MS. BAER: Thank you.
9	BY MS. BAER:
10	Q Does that property description in any way
11	include the site of the off-site support facilities, as
12	shown for Alternate Access A?
13	A No, it doesn't.
14	Q So, in essence, you're asking this Board, as
15	your first choice, to grant a special exception for
16	property that wasn't described in the application, and
17	wasn't described in the advertisement? They are just
18	supposed to create this. Is that essentially correct?
19	A We are asking the Board to allow us the
20	option of pursuing Alternative A or Alternative B.
21	Q Which and Alternative A was not advertised

BEFORE THE ANNE ARUNDEL COUNTY BOARD OF APPEALS

In the matter of:

THE HALLE COMPANIES CASE NO. BA 120-90S

CHESAPEAKE TERRACE : CASE NO. BA 26-91S/BA 27-91V :

Wednesday, May 6, 1992

Pursuant to Notice, the above-entitled hearing was held at The James Senate Office Building, Room 200, Annapolis, Maryland 21404, before BARBARA M. HALE, CHAIRPERSON, commencing at 2:00 p.m., there being present:

BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT:

JOSEPH A. JOHNSON
WILLIAM C. EDMONSTON, SR.
NANCY DAVIS-LOOMIS, ESQUIRE
F. GEORGE DEURINGER, Vice-Chairman
JOHN W. BORING
ANTHONY V. LAMARTINA
DAVID M. SCHAFER

ON BEHALF OF THE APPLICANTS:

SUSANNE K. HENLEY, ESQUIRE 80 West Street Suite 110 P.O. Box 2356 Annapolis, Maryland 21404

and

ANTHONY CHRISTHILF, ESQUIRE 124 South Street P.O. Box 1524 Annapolis, Maryland 21404

ON BEHALF OF ANNE ARUNDEL COUNTY:

JAMIE BAER, ESQUIRE 2664 Riva Road Annapolis, Maryland 21401

ON BEHALF OF THE RESPONDENTS:

EDWIN DOSEK

ALSO PRESENT:

KEVIN DOOLEY GERALD EGOLF

REPORTED BY: DEBORAH S. CONLEY, NOTARY PUBLIC

1	of Chisholm, and are ready for you to call your next
2	witness.
3	MS. HENLEY: Is the Board through asking
4	questions?
5	CHAIRPERSON HALE: Was there any objection to
6	the on-site arrangements?
7	MS. HENLEY: No. I just at the time that
8	we broke last time, the Board was still questioning and
9	there was an outstanding question.
10	CHAIRPERSON HALE: Right.
11	MS. HENLEY: And I just wanted to make sure
12	that the Board had finished.
13	CHAIRPERSON HALE: I think that I had a silly
14	one that I was willing to let go. But if someone wants
15	to tell me what rumble strips are.
16	MS. HENLEY: I believe we can do that.
17	Whereupon,
18	J. A. CHISHOLM,
19	a witness, called for examination by counsel for the
20	Applicants, having been duly sworn, was examined and
21	testified as follows:

THE WITNESS: Have you ever approached the toll booth --

CHAIRPERSON HALE: Yes.

THE WITNESS: -- and your tires start to make a lot of noise? Well, the rumble strips also serve to help remove any material that's stuck on tires.

CHAIRPERSON HALE: I thought it would be an easy question. That's why I was willing to let it go. That was the only one I had remaining, and I don't think there were any others.

MS. HENLEY: Madam Chairman, I'd keep Andy at the stand for purposes of redirect at this time.

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MS. HENLEY:

Mr. Chisholm, if the Board does not allow an amendment to our application for special exception to include the support facilities off site, as you have pictured on the board, in the exhibit that was entered at the last hearing, can the -- can they be located on site?

Yes, they can. A

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY Court Reporting and Litigation Support Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia 410-766-HUNT (4868) 1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

800-626-6313 FORM C-100 - LASER REPORTERS PAPER & MFG. CO. 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

19

20

21

1	Q And where would they be located on site?
2	A They would generally be located within the
3	area that is proposed for disturbance. We would not
4	propose additional disturbance on the mining plan.
5	Q Have you had opportunity, since the last
6	hearing, to make inquiry within your organization as to
7	what has been done to acquire the properties that need
8	to be acquired in order to access this site, off the
9	Conway Road access?
10	A Yes, I have.
11	Q And what efforts have been made by your
12	principal, with regards to acquiring that property?
13	A There are two properties that would require
14	acquisition. Mr. Halle and Mr. Vannoy of our office
15	the emergi
	have been in contact with the owners and the owners'
16	representatives, and have secured a verbal commitment
2000	

obtaining the right-of-way.

With respect to the support facilities, would they need to be in place to begin sand and gravel

1989, and historically there is a five year life to a special exception. That would mean that it has approximately two more years to run.

The other sand and gravel operations in the area are existing and would be -- should be subject to the same timetable.

As I stated previously, the Seven Oaks project, specifically, has a minimum of ten years of proposed development. So the existing facilities would or should be closed before our need for the facilities are completed.

BY MS. HENLEY:

- Q Are you still anticipating using all the sand and gravel material for Halle Company projects?
 - A Yes.
- Q And is there any benefit to the community from Halle having the availability of its own sand and gravel?
- A The availability of the sand and gravel under -- strictly controlled by Halle, the benefit would be that we would have a continued reliable source of the

material necessary for our subdivision projects.

By having such a resource available, it would allow us to be competitive in the marketplace. When we deliver finished lots and also finished houses, those savings that we realize can be passed through in allowing us to be competitive with housing.

MS. HENLEY: I have no further questions.

CHAIRPERSON HALE: Mr. Dosek?

MR. DOSEK: I have no further questions.

CHAIRPERSON HALE: Ms. Baer?

MS. BAER: I have a few.

RECROSS EXAMINATION

BY MS. BAER:

Q You assume that the current special exceptions have a five year life span. Isn't it true, under the new special exception criteria for sand and gravel extraction, that the five year limitation is no longer a part of the code?

A I was under the understanding that that would apply to special exceptions for sand and gravel that were submitted after the criteria came into effect.

1	Q Does that mean you don't know?
2	A I don't know what their material is, beyond
3	what they have now.
4	Q Okay. You indicated that there have been
5	some commitments regarding land acquisition that would
6	be needed.
7	Can you tell me which properties are going to
8	be required for that proposal for Alternate Number 1?
9	A Which parcels on the tax map, or which
10	property owners?
11	Q Which property owners, and which parcels?
12	And, even better, as a third element, if you could show
13	us on one of your diagrams.
14	A I believe I can show on this diagram. We
15	have two parcels which are separated by BG&E power
16	lines. I believe they are shown as Parcel 29 on Tax
17	Map 36. Those are owned by Mr. Frank Stachitas.
18	The second parcel is owned by KMS
19	Partnership, or Piney Orchard, and that is to the north
20	of the Stachitas property, and it shows up in this area
21	here, approximately, on the exhibit.

1	Q What is the parcel number on that?
2	A The KMS or the Piney Orchard?
3	Q Yes, please.
4	A I believe it's Parcel 21.
5	Q Would you also require permission to go
6	through the BG&E right-of-way?
7	A Yes. That is correct.
8	Q And have you obtained, or approached BG&E?
9	A Specifically to going through the right-
10	of-way?
11	Q Right.
12	A We have contacted BG&E concerning their
13	requirements for crossing the right-of-way. The deed
14	to the Stachitas property indicates the right to cross
15	the right-of-way. When they were when they conveyed
16	the property to BG&E, they reserved the right of
17	ingress and egress across the BG&E right-of-way. It's
18	a matter of complying with setbacks specific to BG&E
19	from their transmission towers.
20	Q I'm interesting in why you believe that the
21	positioning of the support facilities at the

1	A It is not a sanitary municipal landfill.
2	Q Is the types of wastes accepted at a rubble
3	fill regulated?
4	A Yes. Regulated by COMAR, Title 26.
5	Q And does the county regulate with reference
6	to the state COMAR regulations?
7	A Yes, they do.
8	Q Mr. Chisholm, I'm going to refer to Exhibit
9	it was Exhibit 3A, B, and C.
10	How do you propose to access this particular
11	site? I would ask that Exhibit 2 from the previous
12	hearing be marked as Exhibit 9, and that Exhibit 3A, B,
13	and C be marked as Exhibit 10.
14	MS. BAER: I'm sorry. Could you run that
15	past me again?
16	CHAIRPERSON HALE: Exhibit 9 would now be
17	MS. HENLEY: And ten.
18	CHAIRPERSON HALE: the prior Exhibit
19	Number 2. And Exhibit 10 would be prior Exhibit Number
20	3.
21	MS. BAER: I have no problem with that. No

0

1 objection. 2 MR. DOSEK: No objection. MS. HENLEY: And I ask that they be entered. 3 (Whereupon, the documents were marked for identification Applicant's Exhibit Nos. 9 and 10 5 for Rubble Fill Special Exception and Variance, and 6 7 received in evidence.) 8 BY MS. HENLEY: 9 Mr. Chisholm, how do you propose to access 10 the rubble landfill site? 11 A We propose the Alternative A and Alternative 12 B accesses that we detailed in the sand and gravel 13 operation. 14 We would propose that one access be used, and 15 that the support facilities and locations for the site 16 access be the same as proffered for the sand and 17 gravel. 18 And would it be the developer's choice to 19 access off of Conway Road, which is Alternate A? 20 That's correct.

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY
Court Reporting and Litigation Support
Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia
410-766-HUNT (4868)
1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

What type of support facilities do you